The Portable

HANNAH ARENDT

Edited with an Introduction by

PETER BAEHR



PENGUIN BOOKS

for his part, citing an older author, explained that punishment is necessary "to defend the honor or the authority of him who was hurt by the offence so that the failure to punish may not cause his degradation."

significance of the entire body of facts, which could have been all the ment inadequate, and I would have welcomed a discussion of the general porting. I would not have been surprised if people had found my treatsome of these problems, in the Epilogue, which ceases to be simple rebeyond the matters considered in Jerusalem. I have attempted to go into so only on the strictly factual level, pointing to a phenomenon which acts as well as the trial itself raise problems of a general nature which go far over the subtitle of the book; for when I speak of the banality of evil, I do more meaningful the more directly it referred to the concrete events. $ar{ ext{I}}$ no means identical with stupidity—that predisposed him to become one would never have murdered his superior in order to inherit his post. He mine with Richard III "to prove a villain." Except for an extraordinary beth and nothing would have been farther from his mind than to deterstared one in the face at the trial. Eichmann was not lago and not Macalso can well imagine that an authentic controversy might have arisen allesson, neither an explanation of the phenomenon nor a theory about it. words" should completely becloud the reality of his own death. That such nothing but what he has heard at funerals all his life, and that these "lofty commonplace. It surely cannot be so common that a man facing death or demonic profundity from Eichmann, that is still far from calling it of the greatest criminals of that period. And if this is "banal" and even ernment." He was not stupid. It was sheer thoughtlessness—something by court he spoke of the "revaluation of values prescribed by the [Nazi] govon end facing a German Jew who was conducting the police interrogawas precisely this lack of imagination which enabled him to sit for months merely, to put the matter colloquially, never realized what he was doing. It at all. And this diligence in itself was in no way criminal; he certainly illigence in looking out for his personal advancement, he had no motives and moreover, standing beneath the gallows, should be able to think of finny, if with the best will in the world one cannot extract any diabolical knew quite well what it was all about, and in his final statement to the ion pouring out his heart to the man and explaining again and again how man—that was, in fact, the lesson one could learn in Jerusalem. But it was than all the evil instincts taken together which, perhaps, are inherent in remoteness from reality and such thoughtlessness can wreak more havoc hat it had not been his fault that he was not promoted. In principle he was that he reached only the rank of lieutenant colonel in the S.S. and There is of course no doubt that the defendant and the nature of his У